

On validity of the original Bell inequality for the Werner nonseparable state

Elena R. Loubenets

Applied Mathematics Department,
Moscow State Institute of Electronics and Mathematics

February 1, 2008

Abstract

In quant-ph/0406139, we have introduced in a very general setting the new class of quantum states, *density source-operator states*, satisfying any classical CHSH-form inequality, and shown that any separable state belongs to this class. In the present paper, we prove that, the Werner nonseparable state on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, $d \geq 2$, also belongs to the class of density source-operator states. Moreover, for any $d \geq 3$, the Werner state is in such a subclass of this class where each density source-operator state satisfies also the perfect correlation form of the original Bell inequality regardless of whether or not the Bell perfect correlation restriction is fulfilled.

1 Introduction

The present paper is a sequel to [1] where, in a very general setting, we have introduced the new class of quantum states satisfying the original CHSH inequality [2] and, more generally, every *classical*¹ CHSH-form inequality.

Any state in this new class admits a special type dilation to an extended tensor product Hilbert space. We call this dilation a *density source-operator* and refer to a quantum state with this type of dilation as a *density source-operator state*. As we specify this in [1], the existence for a quantum state of a density source-operator is a purely geometrical property, and cannot be, in general, linked with the existence for this state of a local hidden-variable (*LHV*) model.

To analyze the relation between different classes of quantum states satisfying a *classical* CHSH-form inequality, we show in [1] that all separable states belong to the class of density source-operator states as a particular subclass and that, for the two qubit system, the Werner nonseparable state [3] is a density source-operator state. It is, however, well

¹The term "classical" specifies the validity in the frame of classical probability.

known [3,4] that the Werner nonseparable state on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ satisfies the original CHSH inequality for any dimension $d \geq 2$.

In the present paper, we prove:

- for any dimension $d \geq 2$, the Werner nonseparable state belongs to the class of density source-operator states on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$;
- for any dimension $d \geq 3$, the Werner nonseparable state satisfies the original Bell inequality [5,6], in its perfect correlation form.

The latter earlier unknown property of the Werner state can be verified experimentally.

2 The Werner state as a density source-operator state

Consider on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, $\forall d \geq 2$, the Werner nonseparable quantum state [3]:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_d^{(w)} &= \frac{1}{d^3} I_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d} + \frac{2}{d^2} P_d^{(-)} \\ &= \frac{d+1}{d^3} I_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d} - \frac{1}{d^2} V_d,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where

$$P_d^{(-)} = \frac{1}{2} (I_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d} - V_d)\tag{2}$$

is the orthogonal projection onto the antisymmetric subspace of $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ and V_d is the flip operator on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, defined by the relation:

$$V_d(\psi_1 \otimes \psi_2) := \psi_2 \otimes \psi_1, \quad \forall \psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathbb{C}^d.\tag{3}$$

Recall that V_d is self-adjoint, with

$$\text{tr}[V_d] = d, \quad (V_d)^2 = I_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d}.\tag{4}$$

Below, we use the notion of a density source-operator, introduced, in general, in [1], section 3, and the notation for a density source-operator on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, specified in [1], section 4.1.

Proposition 1 *For any $d \geq 2$, the Werner nonseparable state $\rho_d^{(w)}$ belongs to the class of density source-operator (DSO) states on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$.*

Proof. Consider first the case $d = 2$. For the two-qubit Werner state

$$\rho_2^{(w)} = \frac{3}{8} I_{\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2} - \frac{1}{4} V_2,\tag{5}$$

the density source-operator $T_{\blacktriangleright}^{(2)}$ on $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$, with the partial traces

$$\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2}^{(2)}[T_{\blacktriangleright}^{(2)}] = \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^2}^{(3)}[T_{\blacktriangleright}^{(2)}] = \rho_2^{(w)} \quad (6)$$

over the elements standing on the j -th place, $j = 2, 3$, of tensor products, has been introduced in [1], section 7, example 1, and has the form:

$$T_{\blacktriangleright}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4}I_{\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2} - \frac{1}{8}V_2 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^2} - \frac{1}{8}(I_{\mathbb{C}^2} \otimes V_2)(V_2 \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^2})(I_{\mathbb{C}^2} \otimes V_2). \quad (7)$$

To prove the statement for any $d \geq 3$, let us introduce on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ the orthogonal projection

$$\begin{aligned} Q_d = & \frac{1}{6} \{ I_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d} - V_d \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d} - I_{\mathbb{C}^d} \otimes V_d \\ & - (I_{\mathbb{C}^d} \otimes V_d)(V_d \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d})(I_{\mathbb{C}^d} \otimes V_d) \\ & + (I_{\mathbb{C}^d} \otimes V_d)(V_d \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d}) + (V_d \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d})(I_{\mathbb{C}^d} \otimes V_d) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

with

$$\text{tr}[Q_d] = \frac{d(d-1)(d-2)}{6}. \quad (9)$$

Since in an orthonormal basis $\{e_n; n = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^d$ the flip operator V_d admits the representation

$$V_d = \sum_{n,m=1}^d |e_n\rangle\langle e_m| \otimes |e_m\rangle\langle e_n|, \quad (10)$$

the projection (8) can be written otherwise as:

$$\begin{aligned} 6Q_d = & I_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d} - \sum_{n,m=1}^d |e_n\rangle\langle e_m| \otimes |e_m\rangle\langle e_n| \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d} \\ & - \sum_{n,m=1}^d I_{\mathbb{C}^d} \otimes |e_n\rangle\langle e_m| \otimes |e_m\rangle\langle e_n| - \sum_{n,m=1}^d |e_n\rangle\langle e_m| \otimes I_{\mathbb{C}^d} \otimes |e_m\rangle\langle e_n| \\ & + \sum_{n,m,k=1}^d |e_n\rangle\langle e_m| \otimes |e_m\rangle\langle e_k| \otimes |e_k\rangle\langle e_n| + \sum_{n,m,k=1}^d |e_m\rangle\langle e_n| \otimes |e_k\rangle\langle e_m| \otimes |e_n\rangle\langle e_k|. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

From (11) and (2) it follows that, for any $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^d}^{(j)}[Q_d] &= \frac{d-2}{6}(I_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d} - V_d) \\ &= \frac{d-2}{3}P_d^{(-)}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

For any dimension $d \geq 3$, consider on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ the density operator

$$T_{\blacktriangleleft\blacktriangleright}^{(d)} = \frac{1}{d^4}I_{\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d} + \frac{6}{d^2(d-2)}Q_d. \quad (13)$$

It is easy to verify that each density operator

$$\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^d}^{(j)}[T_{\blacktriangleleft\blacktriangleright}^{(d)}], \quad \forall j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (14)$$

on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, reduced from $T_{\blacktriangleleft\blacktriangleright}^{(d)}$, coincide with the Werner state, that is:

$$\text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^d}^{(1)}[T_{\blacktriangleleft\blacktriangleright}^{(d)}] = \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^d}^{(2)}[T_{\blacktriangleleft\blacktriangleright}^{(d)}] = \text{tr}_{\mathbb{C}^d}^{(3)}[T_{\blacktriangleleft\blacktriangleright}^{(d)}] = \rho_d^{(w)}. \quad (15)$$

Hence, by definition of a density source-operator for a quantum state (cf. [1], section 3), the operator $T_{\blacktriangleleft\blacktriangleright}^{(d)}$ represents a density source-operator for the Werner state $\rho_d^{(w)}$, $\forall d \geq 3$. Thus, for any dimension $d \geq 2$, the Werner state $\rho_d^{(w)}$ on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ is a density source-operator state. ■

As we prove in [1], any density-source operator state satisfies every *classical* CHSH-form inequality.

3 The Werner state and the original Bell inequality

Consider an Alice/Bob joint generalized quantum measurement², with outcomes $|\lambda_1| \leq 1$ on the side of Alice and outcomes $|\lambda_2| \leq 1$ on the side of Bob, performed on a bipartite quantum system in a state ρ on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ and described by the POV measure

$$M_1^{(a)}(d\lambda_1) \otimes M_2^{(b)}(d\lambda_2), \quad (16)$$

which is specified by a pair (a, b) of some parameters on the sides of Alice and Bob, respectively. Here, $M_1^{(a)}$ and $M_2^{(b)}$ are the POV measures, describing, respectively, marginal experiments of Alice and Bob.

Under the joint quantum measurement (16), the expectation value of the product $\lambda_1 \lambda_2$ of outcomes is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle_{\rho}^{(a,b)} & : = \int \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \text{tr}[\rho(M_1^{(a)}(d\lambda_1) \otimes M_2^{(b)}(d\lambda_2))] \\ & = \text{tr}[\rho(W_1^{(a)} \otimes W_2^{(b)})], \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with $W_1^{(a)}$, $W_2^{(b)}$ being quantum observables on $\mathbb{C}^d$, defined by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} W_1^{(a)} & : = \int \lambda_1 M_1^{(a)}(d\lambda_1), \quad \|W_1^{(a)}\| \leq 1, \\ W_2^{(b)} & : = \int \lambda_2 M_2^{(b)}(d\lambda_2), \quad \|W_2^{(b)}\| \leq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

and representing the marginal experiments on the sides of Alice and Bob, respectively.

²See [7,1] for the description of an Alice/Bob joint quantum measurement in a very general setting.

Consider now three Alice/Bob joint quantum measurements (16), specified by pairs of parameters:

$$(a, b_1), \quad (a, b_2), \quad (b_1, b_2), \quad (19)$$

and satisfying the condition

$$W_1^{(b_1)} = W_2^{(b_1)}. \quad (20)$$

As we discuss this in [7,1], the latter condition does not imply the validity of the Bell perfect correlation/anticorrelation³ restrictions $\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle_{\rho}^{(b_1, b_1)} = \pm 1$ and is usually fulfilled under Alice/Bob joint quantum measurements. The condition (20) is, for example always true under Alice/Bob projective spin measurements.

From the relation (15) and proposition 2 in [1], it follows that if the above specified Alice/Bob joint measurements are performed on a bipartite quantum system in the Werner nonseparable state $\rho_d^{(w)}$ on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ then, for any dimension $d \geq 3$, the expectation values

$$\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle_{\rho_d^{(w)}}^{(a, b_1)}, \quad \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle_{\rho_d^{(w)}}^{(a, b_2)}, \quad \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle_{\rho_d^{(w)}}^{(b_1, b_2)} \quad (21)$$

satisfy the perfect correlation form of the original Bell inequality, that is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle_{\rho_d^{(w)}}^{(a, b_1)} - \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle_{\rho_d^{(w)}}^{(a, b_2)} \right| \\ &= \left| \text{tr}[\rho_d^{(w)}(W_1^{(a)} \otimes W_2^{(b_1)})] - \text{tr}[\rho_d^{(w)}(W_1^{(a)} \otimes W_2^{(b_2)})] \right| \\ &\leq 1 - \text{tr}[\rho_d^{(w)}(W_1^{(b_1)} \otimes W_2^{(b_2)})] \\ &= 1 - \langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle_{\rho_d^{(w)}}^{(b_1, b_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Written otherwise, the original Bell inequality (22) for the Werner state $\rho_d^{(w)}$, $\forall d \geq 3$, reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \text{tr}[\rho_d^{(w)}(J^{(a)} \otimes J^{(b_1)})] - \text{tr}[\rho_d^{(w)}(J^{(a)} \otimes J^{(b_2)})] \right| \\ &\leq 1 - \text{tr}[\rho_d^{(w)}(J^{(b_1)} \otimes J^{(b_2)})] \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and is valid for any three quantum observables $J^{(a)}$, $J^{(b_1)}$, $J^{(b_2)}$ on $\mathbb{C}^d$, with the operator norms $\|\cdot\| \leq 1$.

Remark 1 Notice that, as is the case for any density source-operator state specified in proposition 2 in [1], for the Werner state $\rho_d^{(w)}$, $\forall d \geq 3$, the perfect correlation form of the original Bell inequality is valid regardless of whether or not the Bell perfect correlation restriction $\langle \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \rangle_{\rho_d^{(w)}}^{(b_1, b_1)} = 1$ is satisfied.

Thus, for any dimension $d \geq 3$, the Werner nonseparable state on $\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ satisfies both classical probability constraints - the original CHSH inequality and the original Bell inequality, in its perfect correlation form.

³See [5,6].

References

- [1] E.R. Loubenets. e-print quant-ph/0406139.
- [2] J.F. Clauser, M.A. Horne, A. Shimony, and R.A. Holt. *Phys. Rev.Letters* **23**, 880 (1969).
- [3] R.F. Werner. *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 4277 (1989).
- [4] R.F. Werner and M.M. Wolf. *Quantum information and communication*. **1**, 1 (2001).
- [5] J.S. Bell. *Physics* **1**, 195 (1964).
- [6] J.S. Bell. *Speakable and unspeakable in quantum mechanics*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1987).
- [7] E.R. Loubenets. *Phys. Rev. A* **69**, 042102 (2004).